**Basement construction success prevents cracked heritage buildings**

# Nusa Setiani Triastuti

Lecturer in Engineering Faculty, Universitas Krisnadwipayana, Jakarta, Indonesia [nusasetiani@unkris.ac.id](mailto:nusasetiani@unkris.ac.id); nusasetiani3@gmail.com.SCOPUS ID 57170765800 ORCHID 0000-0002-2608-7648. Phone 6281918006640, 6281297215787 Fax –

**Abstract**.

This research could be used as a reference for the young engineer for projects in the city center around the world with dense old, heritage buildings around it. The building had 27 floors, 3 basement floors with -1.0 m of groundwater, 5 m distance from three heritage buildings. My research was a continuous observation to determine the condition of groundwater during dewatering, Ground-water was re-charged until early the groundwater level, and monitored during dewatering with simple, practical groundwater maintenance and protect the environment was carried out by isolation method with basement diaphragm walls. Gap research: 3 basement floor construction methods with maintaining groundwater stable level were a success even though close to a heritage building environment with a shallow foundation, -1.0 m groundwater, narrow land, and risk of collapse. Objective, proper construction methods protected heritage and old buildings with zero accidents and no cracks. Novelty: lowered groundwater from -1.0 m to -15m on 3 basement floors without any cracks at the Cultural heritage building had a shallow foundation. The researcher anticipated five potential problems so there were no cracks and zero accidents. The conclusion of heritage buildings' success with simple method did not occur deformation, cracks and zero accident

*Keywords*: Dewatering, recharge, stability, environment isolation, detection of cracked,

1. **Introduction**

This research could be used as a reference for projects in the city center all over the world with dense building heritage or old buildings around it and traffic jams. The Building has 27 floors, 3 basement floors with -1.0 m of groundwater, and a 5 m distance from three heritage buildings. The case study methodology was continuous observation, if groundwater was reduced drastically then recharged until the early groundwater level and isolated by diaphragm walls. Researchers suggest that groundwater should be as before so that if it drops, it is recharged outside the basement Proper construction method protects heritage buildings (figures 1b,1 c, 1d), old buildings succeed were zero accidents, and no cracks. The result, Novelty: lowered groundwater from -1.0 m to -15m in a 3-story basement without any cracks Researcher anticipates five potential problems so there are no cracks and zero accidents. The conclusion of heritage buildings, the old building did not occur deformation and had zero accidents.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |

Figure 1a,b,c,d. Pink color, 3. heritage building (source: author document)

Heritage buildings had to be preserved as history tourism. Original heritage buildings may only be painted and cleaned. The heritage building shouldn't be cracked even if thin cracks, heritage buildings, without putty or plaster. (Triastuti, N.S 2017)

Three heritage buildings did not do failure, because installed the trench was reducing vibrations Prevention was carried out so that heritage buildings did not collapse by monitoring and anticipating the construction (Triastuti, N.S 2021) The foundation of cultural heritage buildings and old buildings uses shallow foundations. Simple control, practical, but can prevent cracking and zero accident.

The success of the design and deep excavation construction begins with the detail-planned and closely supervised investigation under construction including field and laboratory testing (Gue S. S 1998)

The design of the basement and support system shall follow the appropriate standards, guidelines, and good practices (Gue S. S 1998). Care and diligence with the full conscience of the construction management team are essential to ensure the success of engineering work, especially deep excavation which poses a risk not only to this work but also to the work adjacent to the structure (Hammad.A. WA 2019)

The author reveals this scientific paper to be simply applied to professional engineers and academics, analytical examples in the project process. The construction process was simple but had an impact to prevent a very big risk for heritage buildings, and old buildings so that all heritage buildings did not get cracked deformation. So that the authenticity of the heritage building was retained so that it became a historical heritage and an icon of the central area of the Indonesian government which had to be retained. The original building became a tourist attraction historical for the younger generation and a very valuable acknowledgment

This paper had a very big impact on heritage buildings that had a history for the old and young generations so that the integrity according to the original was very large for the community and the world, especially those whose ancestors had worked in the building. These concepts and solutions were applied by researchers who assisted in inspection projects. . Scientific journals had to be able to serve as a reference for engineers to give practical and easy solutions. Besides manuscript was a reference for scientists and academics

# Research Significance

The Basement Construction research was success preventing Crack Heritage Buildings. The Heritage building is utilized to history tourism for the old and young generations. Old buildings, heritage buildings, and infrastructure were prevented crack at the construction moment of another building as guidance throughout the world's urban areas. Heritage buildings should be by the original did not repair, or patches even though finishing, and maintenance was only painting and cleaning. Buildings and infrastructure remained intact did not cracks and deformed, or other damage. The principle of the construction process had not to damage buildings and infrastructure, its benefits all urban areas in the world. This research solution is easy to understand, easy to do, and easy to check directly by a field engineer

**3. Methods**

Case study basement 3 floors were under a 27-story building very close to the heritage building, with a groundwater level of -1 m, with direct researcher providing input for prevented, observed on-site, and analyzed, Field engineers had to give input and solutions to simple, easy to implement and easy to analyzed checking engineers

The high-potential basement construction was damaged but did not cause cracks or tilting, It managed groundwater and surface water, and reduced vibrations with trench digging so that groundwater conditions outside the 3-story basement did not decrease, and less vibration. The author immediately saw, and monitored the activities of the basement construction, too that it had secondary data for the 3rd-floor basement which was under the 27th floor of the building. The author analyzed based on five potential problem-solving steps based on primary and secondary data.

The author analyzed construction methods, and site management provided input on the placement of dewatering points and recharging points, groundwater monitored subsidence outside the basement, and groundwater monitors tools placed to measure movement in heritage buildings. If groundwater drops drastically, it had the potential to damage cultural heritage buildings in this location or the surrounding location, and old buildings cracked potential and even collapse because of added construction load. The forces/loads at the time of construction that were of concern to the five stages of work, namely the vibration of the drill pile tool, the diaphragm wall, the force due to the decrease in groundwater in the basement area, the force due to active earth pressure, especially during excavation due to the installation of the basement structure, are very close only 5 m from the heritage building. In addition, materials falling on cultural heritage buildings should be avoided.

The potential problems of a three-floor basement building constructed close to cultural heritage buildings. The construction of 3 basement floors, very close to 3 heritage buildings did not crack architectural

Three basement floors were dug extra careful on a narrow land with three sides of the heritage building and the back of the old building Heritage buildings were protected by legal that had to not be changed either intentionally or unintentionally

**3.1 OVERVIEW**

The decrease in groundwater level was also caused by the decreasing number of water catchment areas. Based on the geological and hydrological data analyzed, groundwater conditions in Jatinangor station (approximately 170 km distance from the basement location was my manuscript)in 2015 showed a decrease compared to 2010. Currently, clean water resistance can be ascertained by hydrogeological techniques, namely by building artificial infiltration of groundwater being used (Hidayatullah M.S, Yoga K.E, Muslim D, 2017).

Gap research: There did no research with many problems, namely the construction of 3 basement floors and upper structure was a tall building was carried out very close to 3 heritage buildings and 1 old building. 4 buildings with shallow foundations, high groundwater -1.0 m to -2.2m, surrounding restricted site, and very narrow land.

**3.2 Decomposition of forces**

The basement floor was in an area of high-water level affected by uplift groundwater pressure, water seepage, and humidity problems through the wall and after it was operating as time passed could be damaged

3.3. **Internally Integrated**

The construction should also follow the approved method statement and had a checklist on supervision to prevent mistakes or carelessness in the execution of works, especially those highlighted in this paper

**3.4. Internal Regulation**

Regulation Of The Minister Of Public Works And People's Housing Of The Republic Of Indonesia Number 19 the Year 2021 Concerning Technical Guidelines For The Implementation Of Cultural Heritage Building Be Conserved

Article 6. (1) b. As much as possible maintain authenticity

Article 6. (4) c. Careful and responsible use was based on the use of non-destructive, techniques, methods, and materials.

1. **Result**

The result did not crack, no deformation, and the heritage building was protected from dust and vibration. Based on potential problems, the researcher prevented in the following way:

a. Groundwater was issued in the basement when dug, then groundwater in the basement and surrounding areas recharged

b. Monitoring was done daily so groundwater degradation did not occur then more than 30 cm or did not reduce drastically because the hole outside the basement recharged every day, preventing higher decreases outside the diaphragm wall

c. Dewatering and recharge prepared and calculated properly,

d. Groundwater recharged if the decline, groundwater decreased by more than 10 cm should be recharged immediately.

e. Heritage buildings were protected by safety nets and tarpaulin

Quality assurance was carried out to ensure design and construction were applied systematically with the required checklists. The success of basement 3-floor construction that did not result in damage, zero accidents at heritage buildings

1. **Discussion**

This manuscript was very necessary as a reference and easy to follow because of simple, and practical for construction work, especially for underground buildings that could damage the surrounding environment, namely buildings and infrastructure. In the isolation environment against vibrations and groundwater levels, there did no deform, no shear, and even no small cracks. This was very beneficial for the building and infrastructure environment. In the future it was always identified and applied to all construction work during the construction process, the vibration was isolated and the groundwater level was stable, it was very beneficial to keep it did not crack, did not tilt, and even more, profitable when the construction was complete, the groundwater level was kept constant during building operations and maintenance.

The researcher anticipated the Heritage buildings, The stages of Construction

A. In carrying out the work, excavation things had to be considered.

The condition of the soil and groundwater level near heritage buildings and old buildings was monitored at 5 borehole points carried out, Groundwater level ranges from -1.00 to -2.2 from the existing elevation land.

Shallow groundwater needs special attention because dewatering carried out - 15m which was under the basement 3 floors, if the groundwater outside the building area drops very large it caused damage to buildings and infrastructure. This had to be controlled and monitored properly so that the groundwater outside the basement remained constant. The entrance to the basement should be 50 cm higher than the maximum flood elevation and considered average subsidence of 7.5 cm/year in Jakarta (in some locations 14 cm/year), due to the deep groundwater used excessively

During excavation had to be calculated against active soil pressure, groundwater pressure, and carload as it passed for loading and unloading while working on the basement.

Oxygen 20 workers at basement floors had to be supplied from above by giving fans and communication tools had to be well supplied, as other safety equipment, helmets, and eyeglasses.

B. Step construction of the basement, be careful and thorough with the correct stages.

Step construction of the basement was careful and thorough with the correct stages.

That could result in a damaged building due to vibration Bore pile equipment, DW equipment, and excavation if carried out without regard to the stages of work. Diaphragm Wall hole to a depth of -27.00 m. The hard ground (end bearing) was between 36 m to 40 m The concrete diaphragm wall was all compacted so as solid to withstand active ground pressure and forces received. The wall excavated were given bentonite, so they did not collapse

King post profile steel 49 points as deep as 3.5 m was installed to support the floor plate. King post-WF 300x300 profile installed at the top of the bore pile. King post carrying capacity was calculated according to the area supported x (DL + LL)., and it had to be stronger than the load received. Carried out top-down with open cut variations used steel profile retained the basement walls

The layout of the site had to be attended to during the construction stages (figure 2)



Figure 2*.* The site layout was narrow, which should put all the construction needs

The construction method became the main in installing strutting steel because of limited space work (figure 3).




Figure *3.* Zone 1 left, zone 2 middle, zone 3 right. Strutting steel position at ground level

Strutting resisted the horizontal force of active soil pressure, groundwater pressure, and pressure building load on the site next to it. The strutting steel should be calculated also horizontal and vertical deflection (∆) that

M = 6EI ∆ /L2. (1)

If the deflection was large, resulting in a large moment, it was necessary to add additional strutting strengthening with a larger profile or a double profile. It was the connection significant between the steel profile and DW, the connection had to be able to withstand the moment due to deflection, especially from the horizontal force, active earth pressure, and material loads for basement construction. The horizontal force in Figure 4 had to be supported by steel profile strutting

q

 hc h

Ka.ωhc Ɣh

*Figure 4.* The force that works on D-wall

Ka= tan 2(45 –Ø) (2)

P=1/2xKaxωx(hc)2  (3)

Pw=Ɣ h2/2 (4)

Pl=q x h (5)

P total = P+Pw +Pl (6 )

Ka=coefficient of active earth pressure

Ø = angle of internal friction angle of shearing resistance of a soil

Ɣ = weight of soil volume density soil volume

h= height in general=hydraulic head

hc=vertical distance from the ground surface of cohesive soil to a point of zero stress

Ɣw = unit weight of water

q= load

P total was pressure against DW due to added groundwater pressure (figure 4), active soil pressure and loads around the basement was environment car access road, and box car carrying books and office purposes.

C. Groundwater during Dewatering.

Recharge to outside basement construction

Dewatering done in the diaphragm wall area (figure 5) Groundwater outside the diaphragm wall should be monitored and measured, and groundwater should not be drastically dropped to avoid the impact of damage to heritage and infrastructure buildings. The outside basement recharged always keeps the groundwater level

The location recharge was distributed to the location where the point of the heritage building was closest and spread out in various directions so high reduced groundwater could be avoided


.

Figure 5. Dewatering (DW) and Recharge (Pz) Location

D Monitoring and observation mainly decreased groundwater on the basement floor and restored groundwater level outside the basement where the Researcher anticipated risk for up, and down groundwater, especially very shallow groundwater, such as research sites.

Groundwater flow theory Bernoulli law h = z + U / Ɣw (7)

h = total pressure height at one point

z = height of elevation power.

U = pore water pressure.

Ɣw = unit weight of water

To predict the groundwater level in this area during dewatered and recharged

The existing groundwater level was -1.00 m before being dug into the ground, decreasing gradually from -5.25, -10.00 to -15.00

The existing groundwater level was -1.00 m before dug and decreased gradually from -5.25, -10.00 to -15.00

Piezometer and Inclinometer were installed as monitored equipment to monitor the groundwater level reduction in the vicinity of the building.

Dewatering and recharging inside and outside the basement area when it drops more than 30. cm outside the basement area. The groundwater level was monitored water level every 24 hours. After recharging, observations of groundwater levels (figure 6 a,b,c ), and the engineer inspected the walls of heritage buildings no deformation/ unchanged remains.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Description: G:\DEWATERING\MENGUKUR PIPA.jpg |  | Description: D:\Removable Disk\DEWATERING\RECHARGE.jpg |

Figure 6*a.b.c*  Recharge, measurement, noted. Groundwater control (source: author document)

E Water managers must recycle and dispose of land must be done immediately , limited workspace

a. Excavation was relatively wet because high groundwater was about -1.00 to -2.2 m from the soil surface. The project area was slippery, and the ground area gave a plate of precast concrete, so workers did not slip

b. Water mixing with soil was immediately discharged into the drainage, but the drainage was given a soil filter so that the water entering the drainage was not dirty. The excavated land was accommodated in a large tent, then tied and out site brought dump truck. Before the truck went out was tire washed.

Water monitoring should be analyzed the decline, groundwater decreased by more than 30 cm should be recharged immediately. Example (fig 6 a,b,c) Measurement of top-level A, measuring the high to B every day, the difference of high B every day indicated the decreased of groundwater. Level A measurement with length B resulted in level C. The difference between level C to level D indicated the level of groundwater on the soil surface. Example: the dewatering pit 1 monitored: On 5 July 2015 hour 15 showed B (longer) 6100 mm, whereas on July 6, 2015, clock 15 showed B (length) 5690 mm, decreasing groundwater by 410 mm. This was to beware of large water degradation within 24 hours, so it was recharged twice a day morning and afternoon.

The purpose of this explanation was that simple measurements could be made for jobs with a high level of risk, this was very easy to do by workers with relatively low education. This was how I as a researcher always provided solutions that were easy to work with but provided great benefits

1. **Conclusion**

Novelty: lowered groundwater from -1.0 m to -15m. The 3-story basement succeeded without crack, and no deformation with easy construction by the site engineer although near the1 old building, 3 heritage buildings used shallow foundations, expansively soil, and had high groundwater. Although the site was near 1 old building, 3 cultural heritage buildings used shallow foundations, large land areas, and had high groundwater. The basement construction was successful, did not crack heritage buildings, this novelty was the right solution because there were many old or heritage buildings in the world that crack or fail if construction was near buildings like that. In other previous studies, there was no basement of more than 1 floor very close to cultural heritage buildings. The stability level of groundwater that was maintained around buildings and infrastructure during the operation and maintenance of buildings according to my manuscript needs to be implemented for each project so that buildings and infrastructure did not crack. Five potential problems had been anticipated by the authors, especially quality management and safety management

Groundwater was monitored with a piezometer and deformation with an inclinometer. The dewatering and recharging were observed with simple and easy construction as shown in Figures 6 a, b, and c measuring with hose and meter until groundwater fixed the first level. This research applied 5 main groups, starting from bore piling, excavation, installing basement walls and floors, monitoring groundwater, and protecting the environment so that heritage buildings and old buildings did not crack, and deformation and the environment was not polluted, clean and healthy.

The result of the 3 floors construction basement with 27 floors building had no architectural cracked heritage buildings so the authenticity of the heritage buildings was maintained, which was highly expected in every heritage building. A great solution in a simple way was expected in every construction. This manuscript could be a simple solution and easily understood by engineers. The author's philosophy was that scientific manuscripts should be easy to applied engineering practitioners and young scientist

Major findings and policy recommendations that needed to be implemented for each project so that the existing building and infrastructure were not cracked are described below

1. In big-city neighborhoods, buildings and infrastructure were close together. Every time excavation activities, groundwater around buildings should be kept at the first same level. When changed drastically will result in buildings or infrastructure undergoing change resulting in cracks.

2. Groundwater monitored during drought or rain during operational building to anticipate changes in groundwater levels that resulted in deformation in buildings or infrastructure.

3. Basement Construction of limited space when using strutting steel should be planned for basement structure-activity. Stages construction indicated success without crack building

4. Vibration should be reduced did not crack during construction building. At the time of the bore pile and diaphragm wall construction made no wide trench, to cut the wave. Before the Diaphragm wall installed

5. The environment had to be kept clean, not slippery. All waste from excavation and groundwater had to be out of the site immediately. The soil-mixed groundwater had to be filtered to achieve the quality standard requirements.

**Acknowledgments**

The author wishes to acknowledge the leaders. Officials teams and friends of consultants and contractors provide and collect data and information during the construction of a three-basement building that daily performs, controls, tests, and controls the tools, materials, labor, and environment so that the building stands firmly with zero accidents. Love to all the central government officials, and friends both through the internet and hardcopy, as the sources of this manuscript.

**Funding**

This research is not a grant but the author gets a fund from the company to research and provide solutions so that there is no failure and heritage buildings do not experience deflection and settlement so that heritage buildings like the original are not changed. The author has received research funds from company PT Arkonin contract no 1000 / 4.1 / d / PPK. VIII.2013 Date: 22 August 2013. All members of the team engineering assist the author in realizing what needs to be done in researching and giving solutions

**Conflict of Interest**

The author states that he has no conflict of interest, the author is solely for knowledge and provides solutions so that heritage buildings are preserved according to the original

**Author Contribution**

Indonesia is a developing country, with limited resources. The researcher tries to apply simple engineering and construction, and simple engineering mechanic calculations but it is very necessary for zero accidents, zero cracks, and no deformation. The researcher tries to provide a simple solution that was indispensable in the construction world

Researchers provide solutions so that there was no failure and heritage buildings do not experience deflection and settlement so that heritage buildings like the original are not changing. All contractor teams as engineering assist the author in realizing what to be done in researching. The author states that he has no conflict of interest, the author was solely for knowledge and provides solutions so that heritage buildings are preserved according to the original.

**References**

Chetchotisak, P. (1). [2009] Estimation of the Natural Periods of Shear Wall-Frame Structures. Engineering and Applied Science Research, 36(1), 1-8, [**Volume 36** No. 1 (2009)](file:///C:\Users\User\Downloads\%20Volume%2036%20No.%201%20(2009)) Retrieved from <https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/article/view/1724>

Chin. TY; I MCC; Partners Sdn Bhd (1998) “*Design and Construction of Bored* *Foundation”*. www.gueandpartners.com.my. Jan 2013; 17-22.

Gue S. S & Tan Y.C, (1998) Design and Construction Consideration for deep Excavation SSN Geotechnics Sdn Bhd 1998p p 23: 1-28.

Hammad.A. WA, Akbarnezhad [A](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652619312296#!). Wu [P.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652619312296#!) Wang [X](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652619312296#!)., Haddad A (2019) “Building information modeling-based framework to contrast, conventional and modular construction methods through selected sustainability factors [Journal” of Cleaner Production](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09596526),[**Volume 228**](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09596526/228/supp/C), 10 August 2019, Pages 1264-1281.

Harsanto, C.Manoppo F.J; Sumampouw J.R. Analysys *of Bored Pile Support on Soilarno Bridge Pylon Structure with Plaxis 3D*. Scientific Journal of Media Engineering, **Volume 5** No.2 September 2015 pp (345-350) ISSN 2087-9334.

Hertlein B, Fassett R, Arnold M, (2016) *A Comparison of Quality Management For Bored Pile/Drilled Shaft (BP/DS)* Foundation Construction And The Implementation of Recent Technologies DFI Journal pp 87-94.

Hidayatullah M.S, Yoga K.E, Muslim D (August 2017) Handling the Decline of Ground Water Using Artificial Recharge Areas. Proceeding of the 3rd International Conference on Construction and Building Engineering (ICONBUILD) 2017 pp 1000071-1000077.

Jokkaw, N., & Tongthong, T. (2017). “Measurement of the importance of knowledge areas and competency levels of construction project managers in the Lao PDR”. Engineering and Applied Science Research, 44(1), 20-26. **Published:** Feb 7, 2017, Retrieved from <https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/article/view/60977>

Ling [F.Y’ Y](https://www.tandfonline.com/author/Ling%2C+Florence+Yean+Yng), Dulaimi [M. F](https://www.tandfonline.com/author/Dulaimi%2C+Mohammed+Fadhil), [Kumaraswamy.M](https://www.tandfonline.com/author/Kumaraswamy%2C+Mohan),  [Bajracharya](https://www.tandfonline.com/author/Bajracharya%2C+Arun) A (2014). A Case Study of the Management t of Innovation Implementation within a Construction Project Organization International Journal of Construction Management, **Volume 3**, 2003 - [Issue 2](https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/tjcm20/3/2) Published online: 10 Feb 2014 Pages 79-91<https://doi.org/10.180/15623599.2003.10773045>.

Low [S. P.](https://www.tandfonline.com/author/Low%2C+Sui+Pheng)  & Ang [G. K.](https://www.tandfonline.com/author/Ang%2C+Gin+Keng) (2014) Integrating Jit and 5-S Concepts for Construction Site Management: A Case Study [International Journal of Construction Management](https://www.tandfonline.com/toc/tjcm20/current)Pages 31-47 | Published online: 10 Feb 2014 <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2003.10773034>.

Maulik A.R, Sunny Kumar P.A Deep S U. Dhruv R.P,(2014) *Problem and Remedies for Basement* Construction International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) ISSN:2278-0181, **Volume 2** Issue 4, Apri; 2014 pp 1425-1429.

Masakorala S.R, (Sept 2016), In Situ Cast Bored Piles Construction Practices in Sri Lanks-A Review. Journal of Built Environment, Technology and Engineering ISSN 0128-1003, **Volume 1** pp 99-103.

Phoo-ngernkham, T., & Sinsiri, T. [2011] (1). Workability and Compressive Strength of Geopolymer Mortar from Fly Ash Containing Diatomite. Engineering and Applied Science Research, *38*(1), 11-26. [*Vol. 38 No. 1 (2011 )*](file:///C:\Users\Hendratmo\Downloads\Vol.%2038%20No.%201%20(2011%20))Retrieved from *https://ph01.tci thaijo.org/index.php/easr/article/view/1583*

Regulation Of The Minister Of Public Works And People's Housing Of The Republic Of Indonesia Number 19 the Year 2021 Concerning Technical Guidelines For The Implementation Of Cultural Heritage Building Be Conserved Article 6. (1) b. Article 6. (4) c

Ridtirud, C., Posi, P., & Chindaprasirt, P. (1). [2016 “Development of high-performance concrete containing high calcium fly ash”. Engineering and Applied Science Research, 43,446-450. Retrieved from [https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/article/ view/70829](https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/article/%20view/70829), [**Vol. 43** (2016): Special Issue (S3): The 6th KKU International Engineering Conference 2016](https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/issue/view/6679)

Roim, T., Kriratiurai, P., KomAD, P., Areel Omboon, S., & Kularb, D. N. (2013). “The effect of heat on concrete strength”. Engineering and Applied Science Research, 22 (1), 1-8. Published: April 25, **2013** Retrieved from [https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/ easr/article/view/8117](https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/%20easr/article/view/8117) .

Sodsri, C. (1) [2013]. Construction and verification of Hemi-anechoic room. Engineering and Applied Science Research, 40(4), 505-515, [**Volume 40** No. 4 (2013)](https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/issue/view/2513) Retrieved from <https://ph01.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/easr/article/view/21738>

Triastuti, N.S (August 2017) “Bore pile foundation on tall buildings closed in the heritage building area” *Proceeding ICONBUILD index Scopus,* **Volume** **1903**, pp 090003-1-0900036.

Triastuti, N.S (August 2021) “Bore Pile Foundation Construction without Caused Fine Crack at Three Heritage Buildings” IntechOpen (Juli,2021), DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.98555. Available from: https://www.intechopen.com/online-first/77480

Turla, P. Kumar S, S, Reddy P.H, Shekar. K.C (2014), Department Of Mechanic Engineering. Vignan Institute of Technology and Science, Hyderabad, India (April – 2014) ISSN 2278-0181 Processing and Flexural Strength of Carbon Fiber and Glass Fiber Reinforced Epoxy – Matrix Hybrid Composite. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) Vol. 3 Issue 4, April – 2014 pp 394-398.Bottom of Form

XueW., Wang Y, Man Q. Research on Information Models for the Construction Schedule Management Based on the IFC Standard [*Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*](http://www.jiem.org/)JIEM, 2015 – 8(3): 615-635 – Online ISSN: 2013-0953 – Print ISSN: 2013-8423<http://dx.doi.org/10.3926/jiem.1283>*.*

Yamamoto [K](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/search/global/_search/-char/en?item=8&word=Keiki+YAMAMOTO), [Miyata](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/search/global/_search/-char/en?item=8&word=Tetsuji+MIYATA) T, Jinnai [H](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/search/global/_search/-char/en?item=8&word=Hiroshi+JINNAI), Hattori [A](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/search/global/_search/-char/en?item=8&word=Atsushi+HATTORI), Watanabe [S](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/search/global/_search/-char/en?item=8&word=Satoshi+WATANABE),  [Terashima](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/search/global/_search/-char/en?item=8&word=Tomohiro+TERASHIMA) T, [Terauchi](https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/search/global/_search/-char/en?item=8&word=Rieko+TERAUCHI) R, Ikeda K 2009 Top-Down Construction Using Precast Ultra High Strength Concrete Basement Columns And Quality Control Of Actual Building Operations AIJ Journal of Technology and Design, **Volume 15** Issue 29 pp 21-26 Online ISSN: 1881-8188 Print ISSN:1341-9463.

Yeom D.J, Seo H.M, Kim. Yoo-Jun 1, Cho C.S, Kim Y Development of An Approximate Construction Duration Prediction Model During the Project Planning Phase for General Office Buildings Journal of Civil Engineering and Management ISSN 1392-3730/eISSN 1822-3605 2018, **Volume 24** Issue 3: 238–253.