



Reviewer Report Form

Manuscript Title	Retrofitting Analysis of Steel Roof Frame To Preserve Heritage Building 1921
Manuscript ID	ETT 23 JUL 164

Sl. No.	Evaluation criteria	Score (0-10)
1.	Topic Relevance	8
2.	Scholarly Quality	7
3.	Language Usage	8
4.	Use of Theory	8
5.	Novelty and Originality of the idea	7
6.	Technical Content and Correctness	8
7.	Critical Qualities	8
8.	Clarity of Conclusions	8
9.	Depth of Research	8
10.	References / Bibliography	7
	Total score (100)	77/100

RECOMMENDATION

The following are indicative score ranges:

ACCEPTED

ACCEPTED WITH MINOR REVISIONS

REJECTED

* Accept (without Revisions): 80-100

* Accept with minor revisions: 60-80

* Reject: Below 60

REVIEWER COMMENTS

(For **reviewer**: If your comments add up to a score of 80 or above and/ or you have no further comments or due to time constraints, it is sufficient to complete the above page alone)

If you have any detailed comments to make beyond the score on the previous page, please write them below.



Reviewer Suggestions to Authors:

- The reviewer(s) have recommended publication with minor revisions.
- Paper quality and ideas were good for the research field. Kindly revise per the following comments and revert us.
- 4% similarity with some internet sources and publications identified (Plagiarism detection powered by [turnitin®](#)). Check plagiarism using some tools after revision. The similarity index must be less than 8%.
- Tweak the introduction section by clearly mentioning the research gap and introducing the problem well.
- The novelty of the work must be clearly addressed. Compare your research with existing research findings.
- The review of the literature is not thorough so the reader is not given an adequate background about the topic.
- The analysis in the paper is minimal. Not enough of an advance or of enough impact for the journal. Authors can add a few more chapters and present the research with detailed analysis with supportive data.
- Authors can use to present the experimental results and their corresponding analysis in detail supported by graphical and tabular data [must be in the editable format].
- Authors must use clear images for proper rendering of the final published paper and should not distort on zooming [figs. 14, 15, 16, 18, 30 should be in the high-resolution format].
- Strictly prepare your paper in the form of our updated journal template available on the website. Kindly read the instructions and adhere to our journal template.
- Use the equation editor plugin to type equations in MS Word.
- Include a detailed discussion on how and why you were able to achieve better results in comparison to state-of-the-art techniques or already reported in the literature.
- The research paper should be written in the perspective of the third person. Words such as 'I' 'we' 'our' etc. need to be avoided.
- Perform Spell and grammar checks. No word breaks at the end of lines.